	Case 1:22-cv-00249-JLT-BAM Documer	nt 38 Filed 09/18/23 Page 1 of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IINITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11	ALLAN FLETCHER,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00249-JLT-BAM (PC)
12	,	, ,
	Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF OPT-OUT AND REQUEST TO
13	V.	VACATE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE (ECF No. 37)
14	CLENDENIN, et al.,  Defendants.	ORDER LIFTING STAY OF PROCEEDINGS
15 16		ORDER VACATING OCTOBER 18, 2023 SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
17		(ECF No. 36)
18		ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO ISSUE DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING ORDER AND CONSENT/DECLINE FORM
19		
20	Plaintiff Allan Fletcher ("Plaintiff") is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma	
21	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Individuals detained pursuant to	
22	the California Welfare and Institutions Code § 6600 et seq. are considered civil detainees and are	
23	not prisoners within the meaning of the Prison Litigation Reform Act. <i>Page v. Torrey</i> , 201 F.3d	
24	1136, 1140 (9th Cir. 2000). This action proceeds on Plaintiff's first amended complaint against	
25	Defendants Clendenin and Price for failure to treat Plaintiff under the Fourteenth Amendment	
26	Due Process Clause due to their official policy.	
27	On August 18, 2023, the Court identified this case as an appropriate case for the post-	
28	screening ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution) project, and stayed the action to allow the	

## 1 parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before the discovery process begins. (ECF No. 36.) 2 The Court's order granted Defendants time to investigate and determine whether to opt out of the 3 post-screening ADR project. 4 On September 15, 2023, Defendants filed a notice of opt-out and request to vacate 5 settlement conference. (ECF No. 37.) After speaking with Plaintiff and investigating Plaintiff's 6 claims, Defendants conclude in good faith that a settlement conference in this case would be a 7 waste of resources. (*Id.*) Therefore, the stay is lifted, and the October 18, 2023, settlement 8 conference is vacated. This case is now ready to proceed. 9 If the parties wish to set a settlement conference with the Court at a later date, they should 10 so inform the Court. However, the parties are also reminded that they are not precluded from 11 negotiating a settlement without judicial assistance. 12 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 13 1. The stay of this action, (ECF No. 36), is LIFTED; 14 2. The October 18, 2023 settlement conference is VACATED; 3. 15 The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to issue a discovery and scheduling order 16 and a consent/decline form to the parties; and 17 4. The parties may proceed with discovery pursuant to the discovery and scheduling 18 order to be issued by separate order. 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 21 Dated: **September 18, 2023** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 22 23 24 25 26 27

Case 1:22-cv-00249-JLT-BAM Document 38 Filed 09/18/23 Page 2 of 2

28